

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/579,842	05/17/2006	Virginia Ruth Pinney	31923	5737	
Martin D Moyr	7590 05/07/2007	EXAMINER			
PRTSI Inc			CHEN, CATHERYNE		
P O Box 16446 Arlington, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/579,842		PINNEY, VIRGINIA RUTH				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Catheryne C		1655				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress`			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESCRIBION OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, will apply and will ex e, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tl tion to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
·		— s action is non	-final.					
3)	·							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6, 13, 18, 23, 27-29, 43, 45-46, 4</u>				<u>6, 126, 132, 134-</u>			
<u>138, 140</u> -	<u>-144, 146, 149, 153, 155-159</u> are subject to res	triction and/or	election requiremen	it.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	E,	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-4,6,13,18,23,27-29,43,45,46,49,50,53,59,68,75,80,84,88,103,105,114,116,126,132,134-138,140-144,146,149,153 and 155-159.

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-4, 6, 13, 18, 23, 27-29, 43, 45-46, 49-50, 53, 59, 68, 75, 80, 84, 88, 103, 105, 114, 116, 126, 132, 134-138, 140-144, 146, 149, 153, 155-159 are currently pending. Please take notice of the election to species requirement in paragraph 3. To be fully responsive, applicant must fulfill this requirement.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 6, 13, 18, 23, 27-29, 43, 45-46, 49-50, 53, 59, 68, 75, 80, 84, 88, 103, 105, 114, 116, 126, 155-159, drawn to a composition.

Group II, claim(s) 132, 134-138, 140-144, 146, 149, 153, drawn to a method of reducing the negative effects of malodor.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The composition of the plant extracts are involved in increasing bioavailability and reducing inter- and intra-individual variability of an orally administered hydrophobic pharmaceutical compound comprising of essential oil components of rose, Canaga, frankincense, myrrh (see Benet et al. US 5665386, abstract, Table 1). The group II

Art Unit: 1655

claims are not involved in increasing bioavailability and reducing inter- and intraindividual variability of an orally administered hydrophobic pharmaceutical compound; therefore, the reference shows that the claimed composition has a different use then the uses claimed in groups I-II. Thus, the reference shows a lack of unity.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

Applicant must elect a specific plant extract or combination from Claims 2-4, 159.

Applicant must elect a specific auxiliary component or a mixture from Claims 28, 136, 155.

Applicant must elect a specific R group for Claim 46.

Applicant must elect a specific carrier from Claim 105, 114, 115, 126.

Applicant must elect a specific source or combination for Claims 134, 144.

Applicant must elect a specific location for Claims 135, 137, 140.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1655

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2-4, 6, 13, 18, 23, 27-29, 43, 45,-46, 49-50, 53, 103, 105, 114, 116, 126, 132, 134-138, 140-144, 146, 149, 153 depend on Claim 1.

Claims 155-159 depend on Claim 59.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1, 59, 159.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The plant extracts of Claims 2-4, 159 lack unity of invention because they are of different plant species.

The auxiliary components of Claims 28, 136, 155 lack unity of invention because they are of different plant species.

The R group of Claim 46 lacks unity of invention because the different hydrocarbon components render the chemical distinct.

The carriers of Claim 105, 114, 115, 126 lack unit of invention because they are different articles of use.

The sources of Claims 134, 144 lack unity of invention because they are distinct sources with no relations.

Art Unit: 1655

The locations of Claims 135, 137, 140 lack unity of invention because they are distinct locations that have no relations to each other.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1655

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catheryne Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-9947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9-5 PM.

Art Unit: 1655

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catheryne Chen Patent Examiner Art Unit 1655

BUSAN COE HOFFMAN